THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.No.10611 of 1996

Dated:08.3.2007

Between

1. P.Rama Krishna and others.

.....Petitioners

and

The Government of Andhra Pradesh rep., by
Its Secretary Department of Education (Higher)
Secretariat of AP Hyderabad and others.

...Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.No.10611 of 1996

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a Mandamus to declare the action of the 3rd and 4th respondents as arbitrary and illegal and violative of principles of natural justice in issuing the notification dated 01.06.1996 for temporary appointments as lecturers in different subjects.

There is no necessity to go into all the details.

While admitting the writ petition on 9.7.1996 this Court granted an interim order directing the respondents not to terminate the services of the petitioners pending disposal of the writ petition.

A vacate stay petition being WVMP

No.1501 of 1996 in WPMPNo.12906 of 1996 was filed and the interim order granted on 9.7.1996 was modified to the following effect:

> "This matter is posted on the letter dated 10.7.1996 filed by the counsel for the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioners is absent. Heard the learned counsel for the respondents.
>
> It is submitted that in the order passed by me on 9.7.1996, there is a mistake with regard to petitioners 1 and 2, since it was stated that in that order that they would be continued. Learned counsel for the respondents takes me through the counter and submits that the 1st petitioner was teaching Public Administration and he could not be continued from the academic year 1996-1997 since there is no course of Public Administration from the academic year 1996-97 and the petitioner has no work to do thereafter. It is also stated that the post of lecturer in Public Administration was not included in the notification. With regard to the 2nd petitioner, it is submitted that he could not be continued from the academic year 1996-1997, in the post of lecturer in Geology since a new subject of Geo-Exploration and Drilling Technology is introduced in the place of Geology.
>
> In view of the above submissions,

> my order dated 9.7.1996 is modified to say that the petitioners 1 and 2 could not be continued. It is also submitted that the order stating none of the petitioners are going to be removed immediately as stated in the last line of page 2 of the order, is also not correct. Therefore, I direct that the said sentence to be deleted from the order."

In view of the above, the cause in the writ petition does not survive for adjudication.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed insofar as the petitioners 1 and 2 are concerned. Insofar as other petitioners are concerned, the respondent-Management is at liberty to take a decision with regard to regularizing their services etc, if they are in service as of now and if not already regularized.

_____

8-3-2007

rkk